

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff

v.

NHS SYSTEMS, INC.,

a Pennsylvania corporation, also d/b/a
National Healthcare Solutions and
National Health Net Online, and

HARRY F. BELL, JR.,

individually and as an officer or
principal of NHS Systems, Inc., and

PHYSICIAN HEALTH SERVICE, LLC,

a Missouri limited liability company,
also d/b/a/ American Health Benefits
On Line, and

DONNA NEWMAN,

individually and as an officer or
principal of Physician Health Service,
LLC, and

JOHN E. BARTHOLOMEW,

individually, and

PLUS HEALTH SAVINGS, INC.,

a Pennsylvania corporation

PHYSICIANS HEALTH SYSTEMS,
INC., a Delaware corporation, also d/b/a
American Health Benefits On Line

CIVIL ACTION

No. 08-2215

HEALTH MANAGEMENT, LLC,
a Missouri limited liability company

6676529 CANADA, INC.,
a Canadian corporation

NICOLE BERTRAND,
individually and as an officer or
principal of 6676529 Canada, Inc.

BARRY KIRSTEIN,
individually

“DANNIE BOIE”
a person whose true identity is
unknown, individually

PHS ENTERPRISES, INC.,
a Nevada corporation

FIRST STEP MANAGEMENT, INC.,
a St. Lucia company

GOLD DOT, INC.,
a St. Lucia company

LINKE JN PAUL,
individually and as an officer or
principal of First Step Management, Inc.
and Gold Dot, Inc.

TASHA JN PAUL,
individually and as an officer or
principal of Gold Dot, Inc.

NEVADA BUSINESS SOLUTIONS,
INC.,
a Nevada corporation

INTERFACE MANAGEMENT, INC.,

a Florida corporation, also d/b/a Galaxy
Member Benefits

BEGINNING AGAIN, INC.,
a Florida corporation

Defendants

June 2, 2011

MEMORANDUM/ORDER

On May 10, 2011, the FTC filed a Motion to Approve Consent Judgment for Entry of Stipulated Final Order for Permanent Injunction and Monetary Relief as to Defendant Donna Newman. Dkt. 152. On May 23, 2011, the FTC filed a similar motion as to defendant Harry F. Bell, Jr. Dkt. 158. The consent judgments provide, *inter alia*, that defendants Newman and Bell are ordered to remit the entire amounts held in various named bank accounts. The consent judgments further provide that, if the defendants fail to comply with the remittance order, judgment will be entered against defendants Newman and Bell in the amount of \$1,654,949.20 and \$5,089,471.45, respectively. Although both Newman and Bell have signed their respective consent judgments, both defendants are proceeding pro se.

____ In light of the foregoing, the parties are hereby advised that this court will not entertain the aforementioned motions, or any analogous stipulation, unless and until the defendants are represented by counsel.

Accordingly, the FTC's motion of May 10, 2011, regarding Donna Newman, and the FTC's motion of May 23, 2011, regarding Harry F. Bell Jr., are hereby **DENIED** without prejudice.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Louis H. Pollak
Pollak, J.